### Field Test and Evaluation of Engineered Biomineralization Technology for Sealing Existing Wells



Project Number: FE0009599



#### Robin Gerlach, Al Cunningham, Lee Spangler Montana State University

U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Carbon Storage R&D Project Review Meeting Transforming Technology through Integration and Collaboration August 18-20, 2015

## **Presentation Outline**



Project DE-FE0009599, Field Test and Evaluation of Engineered Biomineralization Technology for Sealing Existing wells (October 1, 2012 – September 30, 2015)

- Project Concept
- Benefit to the Program
- Goal and Objectives
- Technical Status
- Accomplishments to Date
- Summary
- Synergy Opportunities
- Future work

## **Project Concept**



- Sealing unwanted flow paths, underground fluid storage
- Microbially induced calcite precipitation (MICP)
- Results from lab scale, field scale, and simulation modeling will be reported



## **Project Concept**



-MICP sealing with low-viscosity fluids-



After Nordbotten and Celia, Geological Storage of CO<sub>2</sub>, 2012

- Cement is a good technology for large aperture leaks, but is too viscous to plug <u>small aperture leaks</u> (small <u>fractures</u> or <u>interfacial delaminations</u>)
- In some cases it is also desirable to plug the <u>rock formation near the well</u>
- A missing tool is a plugging technology that can be delivered via <u>low-</u> <u>viscosity</u> fluids

### Calcite Biomineralization (MICP) Using Ureolytic Bacteria

- $NH_2CONH_2 + H^+ + H_2O \leftrightarrow 2NH_4^+ + HCO_3^-(1)$
- $Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^- \leftrightarrow CaCO_3(s) + CO_2 + H_2O(2)$

- The enzyme urease present in some bacteria (e.g. (Sporosarcina pasteurii) hydrolyzes urea to form ammonium and carbonates, which increases alkalinity
- In the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, saturation can be exceeded and calcium carbonate (Calcite) precipitates





Schultz, L.; PITTS, B.; MITCHELL, A.C.; CUNNINGHAM, A.B.; GERLACH, R. (2011) *Vlicroscopy Today*. September 2011:<sup>1</sup>



#### **Biocementation of Sand** and Sealing of Fractures







#### Program goals being addressed:

Develop and validate technologies to ensure 99 percent storage performance.

#### **Project benefits statement:**

The Engineered Biomineralized Sealing Technologies (MICP) projects support Storage Program goals by developing a leakage mitigation technology for small aperture leaks that can be delivered via low viscosity solutions. The technology, if successfully applied, could provide an alternative technology to cement for plugging preferential  $CO_2$  leakage pathways in the vicinity of wellbores.



## **Precursor Project**

#### Project FE0004478 Advanced CO<sub>2</sub> Leakage Mitigation using Engineered Biomineralized Sealing Technologies

- 1) Construct and test mesoscale high pressure rock core test system (HPRTS). (Completed)
- 2) Develop biomineralization seal experimental protocol. (Completed)
- 3) Creation of biomineralization seal in different rock types and simulating different field conditions. (Completed)

## **Technical Status**

CENTER FOI

ENGINEERIN

MONTANA

STATE UNIVERSIT



#### **Biomineralization in Sand(stone)**





### **Project Overview:**



Goals and Objectives (Project FE0009599)

#### Project Duration: October 1, 2012 – September 30, 2015

GOAL: Demonstrate the biomineralization technology for sealing preferential flow pathways in the vicinity of injection wells, thus addressing the DOE goal of storage permanence. This goal will be accomplished with the following objectives:
(1) Characterize the Alabama well test site. (Completed)
(2) Design protocol for field injection test. (Completed)
(3) Perform field injection test. (Completed)
(4) Evaluate results of field test. (Ongoing)

#### Large Sandstone Core Boyles sandstone formation, Alabama





## Radial Flow High Pressure Vessel





Designed and built by Joe Eldring & Alaskan Copper, Seattle, WA USA

Phillips, AJ, Eldring, J, Hiebert, R, Lauchnor, E, Mitchell, AC, Gerlach, R, Cunningham, A, and Spangler, L. High pressure test vessel for the examination of biogeochemical processes. J. Petrol. Sci. Eng. 126, February 2015:55-62, DOI: <u>10.1016/j.petrol.2014.12.008</u>





#### Fracture Sealing at 45 bar



15



Phillips, AJ, Eldring, J, Hiebert, R, Lauchnor, E, Mitchell, AC, Gerlach, R, Cunningham, A, and Spangler, L. High pressure test vessel for the examination of biogeochemical processes. J. Petrol. Sci. Eng. 126, February 2015:55-62, DOI: <u>10.1016/j.petrol.2014.12.008</u>



#### **Biominerals Formed**





Phillips, AJ, Eldring, J, Hiebert, R, Lauchnor, E, Mitchell, AC, Gerlach, R, Cunningham, A, and Spangler, L. High pressure test vessel for the examination of biogeochemical processes. J. Petrol. Sci. Eng. 126, February 2015:55-62, DOI: 10.1016/j.petrol.2014.12.008



# **MICP Model**



**REV** scale



#### Pore scale

- Ebigbo A.; Phillips, A; Gerlach, R.; Helmig, R.; Cunningham, A.B.; Class, H.; Spangler, L. (2012): Darcy-scale modeling of microbially induced carbonate mineral precipitation in sand columns. *Water Resources Research*. 48, W07519, doi:<u>10.1029/2011WR011714</u>.
- Lauchnor, E.; Topp, D.; Parker, A.; Gerlach, R. (2015): Whole cell kinetics of ureolysis by Sporosarcina pasteurii. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 118(6):1321-1332. DOI: <u>10.1111/jam.12804</u>
- Hommel, J.; Lauchnor, E.; Phillips, A.J.; Gerlach, R.; Cunningham, A.B.; Helmig, R.; Ebigbo, A.; Class, H. (2015): A revised model for microbially induced calcite precipitation improvements and new insights based on recent experiments. Water Resources Research. 51(5):3695–3715.
   doi:10.1002/2014WR016503



#### MICP Modeling of Sandstone core MONTANE ENGINEERING





J. Hommel et al.

CENTER FOR

## **MICP Field Demonstration**





## Gorgas Well and Test Site



Total well depth 4915 ft Test was conducted at 1118 ft, bgs



#### Hydraulic fracture sealing: Conceptual model







# Characterize and prepare the Alabama Test site

- Injection test
- Formation fractured at approx. 960 psi horizontal pancake fracture at 1118 ft bgs
- Injection test at 0.5 gpm for 4.5 hours at just over 500 psi
- Falloff analysis indicates approx. 11 mD formation permeability



#### Field Deployment Fracture Sealing

- Bailer delivery system
- Injection strategy
- Mobile laboratory for inoculum preparation
- Sampling













#### <u>**Complete sealing**</u> after ~3 days: 24 calcium injections, 6 inoculum inj., 15 kg Ca







### **Observations one year later**

- Well was full of fluid ! indicates that no to very little fluid was lost to the formation over the last year. Thus, the MICP treatment continued to plug off the well even after (partial) refracturing.
- Indication of calcite like material in side wall cores [XRD, microscopy (calcite autofluorescence)]





The following list summarizes **completed and ongoing project objectives** from Project <u>FE0009599</u> (October 1, 2012 – September 30, 2015)

- Characterize the Alabama well test site (9599 Objective 1)
- Design protocol for field injection test (9599 Objective 2)
- Perform field injection test (9599 Objective 3)
- Evaluate results of field test (9599 Objective 4 ongoing)

# **Synergy Opportunities**



Mesoscale high pressure vessel for scale up work – radial flow, samples up to ~70 cm diameter, ~50 cm height



Phillips, AJ, Eldring, J, Hiebert, R, Lauchnor, E, Mitchell, AC, Gerlach, R, Cunningham, A, and Spangler, L. High pressure test vessel for the examination of biogeochemical processes. J. Petrol. Sci. Eng. 126, February 2015:55-62, DOI: <u>10.1016/j.petrol.2014.12.008</u>

# Synergies (and Synergy Opportunities)



- Made possible further R&D/new projects:
  - Methods to enhance well bore cement integrity with microbiallyinduced calcite precipitation (MICP) – Montana State University et al. (DE-FE0024296)
  - Wellbore Leakage Mitigation using Advanced Mineral Precipitation Strategies – Montana State University et al. (DOE FOA 1240)
- Possible synergies with other NETL & FE projects, e.g.
  - Wellbore Seal Repair Using Nanocomposite Materials University of New Mexico - John Stormont (DE- FE0009562)
  - Novel Materials for Robust Repair of Leaky Wellbores in CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Formations - University of Texas at Austin - Matt Balhoff, Steven Bryant (DE-FE0009299)
  - Bill Carey (LANL) Wellbore and Seal Integrity

## Summary



#### **Key Findings**

- Mesoscale laboratory experiments, integrated with simulation modeling, were successfully used to develop the protocol for sealing a horizontal hydraulic fracture at the Alabama test well.
- Key microbial process ureolytic biomineralization was found to be robust under (non-sterile) down-hole conditions.

#### **Lessons Learned**

 Conventional oil field technology can be used to promote MICP in subsurface applications.

#### **Future Plans**

- Evaluate results of field test (9599 Objective 4)
- Expand efforts towards cement sealing (and healing)
- Expand technology application range to higher temperatures and other minerals/sealants



#### Transport of Microbes through Sandstone









#### Schlumberger

**LAB** THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM

Knowledge that will change your world



**Acknowledgements** 

Collaborators Jim Kirksey and Dwight Peters, Schlumberger Richard Esposito, John Poole Southern Company Pete Walsh University of Alabama Birmingham Anozie Ebigbo, Johannes Hommel Holger Class, and Rainer Helmig University of Stuttgart Joe Westrich, Bart Lomans, Andreas Busch,

Shell













Universität Stuttgart

Randy Hiebert, Ellen Lauchnor, Lee Spangler, Joe Eldring, Andy Mitchell, James Connolly, Peg Dirckx, CBE/MSU

#### **Engineered Applications of MICP**



k) Carbon Dioxide

Sequestration

Engineered Applications of Ureolytic Biomineralization

f) PCB

Remediation



c) Soil

d) Dust

b) Dust

c) Soil

b) Dust

c) Soil

q) Limestone

Remediation

Wellbore Integrity Remediation in Gas Storage, Oil Production, Hydraulic Fracking

j) Groundwater

Remediation

CONTRACTOR OF THE

I) Subsurface

Barriers

Phillips AJ, Gerlach R, Lauchnor E, Mitchell AC, Cunningham AB, Spangler L. (2013) Engineered applications of ureolytic biomineralization: A review. *Biofouling*. 29(6): p. 715-733. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2013.796550

MSU 

 Center for Biofilm Engineering

<sup>peg</sup> Dirckx, 2012

h) Pond/Reservoir

Sealing



## Appendix

These slides will not be discussed during the presentation, but are mandatory



### **Organization Chart**



#### Project DE-FE0009599





### Gantt Chart Project DE-FE0009599

|  | Aug-12 | <b>.</b> | Feb-13 | 3 Aug | g-13 | eb-14 | Aug | g-14 Fet | 5-15 Aug | -15 |
|--|--------|----------|--------|-------|------|-------|-----|----------|----------|-----|
| 1.0 Project Management and Plann   | ing    |          |        | ł     |      |       | i   |          |          |     |
| Milestone 1 Updated Management P   | lan    | 1        |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| Milestone 2 Kickoff Meet   | ting   |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| 2.0 Characterize the Alabama Well Test   | Site   | *        |        |       |      | 1     |     |          |          |     |
| 2.1 Determine the location for injection in field v  | vell   |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| 2.2 Identify ureolytic microbes suitable for use in field t  | test   |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| 3.0 Experimental Simulation Modeling of Biomineralization Process  | ses    |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| 3.1 Pre- field experimental model  | ing    |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| 3.2 Post-field experimental model  | ling   |          |        |       |      | -     |     |          |          |     |
| 4.0 Develop Protocol for Field Experim   | ent    |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| Milestone 3 Complete development of field test proto   | col    |          |        | 1     |      | -     |     |          |          |     |
| 4.1 Design mesoscale rock core analogue experim  | ent    |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| 4.2 Perform mesoscale rock core analogue experime  | ent    |          |        |       |      | -     |     |          |          |     |
| 4.3 Perform preparatory steps for well t   | est    |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| 5.0 Perform Field T  | est    |          |        |       |      | -     |     |          |          |     |
| Milestone 4 Complete field t   | test   |          |        |       |      | +     |     |          |          |     |
| 5.1 Prepare well for injection of test materi  | ials   |          |        |       |      | +     |     |          |          |     |
| 5.2 Perform injection in accordance with field test proto  |        |          |        |       |      | +     |     |          |          |     |
| 5.3 Perform post injection pulsed neutron logging and pressure testi   | ing    |          |        |       |      | +     | •   |          |          |     |
| 6.0 Evaluate Field Test Res  | ults   |          |        |       |      | -     |     |          |          |     |
| 6.1 Repeat mesoscale analogue t  | est    |          |        |       |      | -     |     |          |          |     |
| Milestone 5 Complete 76.2 cm (30 inch) diameter rock core analog experiment and corresponding simulation model | ling   |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          |     |
| 6.2 Perform simulation modeling to evaluate field & mesoscale test resu  | ults   |          |        |       |      |       | -   |          |          |     |
| -<br>6.3 Evaluate all test results and prepare comprehensive project rep                                       | ort    |          |        |       |      | -     |     |          |          |     |
| Milestone 6 Complete evaluation of all field and laboratory test res   | ults   |          |        |       |      | -     |     |          |          |     |
| Milestone 7 Complete evaluation of all field and laboratory test res   | ults   |          |        |       |      |       |     |          | -        | 1   |
|  |        |          |        |       |      |       |     |          |          | -   |

## Bibliography



- Connolly, J.C.; Jackson, B.; Rothman, A.P.; Klapper, I.; Gerlach, R. (2015): Estimation of a biofilmspecific reaction rate: Kinetics of bacterial urea hydrolysis in a biofilm. npj Biofilms and Microbiomes. 1, 15014; doi:10.1038/npjbiofilms.2015.14. Accepted. July 06, 2015
- Hommel, J.; Lauchnor, E.; Phillips, A.J.; Gerlach, R.; Cunningham, A.B.; Helmig, R.; Ebigbo, A.; Class, H. (2015): A revised model for microbially induced calcite precipitation improvements and new insights based on recent experiments. Water Resources Research. 51(5):3695–3715.
   <u>doi:10.1002/2014WR016503</u>
- Lauchnor, E.; Topp, D.; Parker, A.; Gerlach, R. (2015): Whole cell kinetics of ureolysis by Sporosarcina pasteurii. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 118(6):1321-1332. DOI: <u>10.1111/jam.12804</u>
- Phillips, A.J.; Eldring, J.; Hiebert, R.; Lauchnor, E.; Mitchell, A.C.; Cunningham, A.B.; Spangler, L.; Gerlach, R. (2015): Design of a meso-scale high pressure vessel for the laboratory examination of biogeochemical subsurface processes. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering 126:55-62, DOI: <u>10.1016/j.petrol.2014.12.008</u>
- Phillips, A.J., Gerlach, R., Hiebert, R., Kirksey, J., Spangler, L., Esposito, R., Cunningham, A.B. (2015): Biological influences in the subsurface: A method to seal fractures and reduce permeability with microbially-induced calcite precipitation. American Rock Mechanics Association 49th Annual Meeting Proceedings, June 28-July 1, 2015, San Francisco, CA
- Cunningham, A.B.; Phillips, A.J.; Troyer, E.; Lauchnor, E.; Hiebert, R.; Gerlach, R; Spangler, L. (2014): Wellbore leakage mitigation using engineered biomineralization. Energy Procedia 63:4612–4619. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.494
- Connolly, J.; Kaufman, M.; Rothman, A.; Gupta, R.; Redden, G.; Schuster, M.; Colwell, F.; Gerlach, R. (2013): Construction of two ureolytic model organisms for the study of microbially induced calcium carbonate precipitation. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*. <u>94(3)</u>:290-299. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.mimet.2013.06.028</u>

## Bibliography



- Phillips, A.J.; Gerlach, R.; Lauchnor, E.; Mitchell, A.C.; Cunningham, A.B.; Spangler, L. (2013): Engineered applications of ureolytic biomineralization: a review. *Biofouling*. 29(6): p. 715-733. DOI: <u>10.1080/08927014.2013.796550</u>
- Mitchell, A.C.; Phillips, A.J.; Schultz, L.N.; Parks, S.L.; Spangler, L.H.; Cunningham, A.B.; Gerlach, R. (2013): Microbial CaCO<sub>3</sub> mineral formation and stability in an experimentally simulated high pressure saline aquifer with supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. 15(July):86-96. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.02.001</u>
- Lauchnor, E.G.; Schultz, L.; Mitchell, A.C.; Cunningham, A.B.; Gerlach, R. (2013): Bacterially Induced Calcium Carbonate Precipitation and Strontium Co-Precipitation under Flow Conditions in a Porous Media System. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 47(3):1557–1564. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es304240y</u>
- Cunningham, A.B.; Lauchnor, E.; Eldring, J. Esposito, R.; Mitchell, A.C.; Gerlach, R.; Phillips, A.J.; Ebigbo, A.; Spangler, L.H. (2013): Abandoned Well CO<sub>2</sub> Leakage Mitigation Using Biologically Induced Mineralization: Current Progress and Future Directions. *Greenhouse Gas Sci. Technol.* 2:1–10. DOI: <u>10.1002/ghg.1331</u>
- Phillips, A.J.; Lauchnor, E.G.; Eldring, J.; Esposito, R.; Mitchell, A.C.; Gerlach, R.; Cunningham, A.B.; Spangler, L.H. (2013): Potential CO<sub>2</sub> Leakage Reduction through Biofilm-Induced Calcium Carbonate Precipitation. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 47(1):142–149. DOI: <u>10.1021/es301294q</u>
- Ebigbo A.; Phillips, A; Gerlach, R.; Helmig, R.; Cunningham, A.B.; Class, H.; Spangler, L. (2012): Darcy-scale modeling of microbially induced carbonate mineral precipitation in sand columns. *Water Resources Research*. 48, W07519, doi:<u>10.1029/2011WR011714</u>.

# MICP model simulation using Gorgas field protocol made prior to field injection

Volume fraction of calcite  $(0.125 \text{ m}^3 \text{ CaCO}_3/\text{m}^3)$  at the end of the MICP simulation.

25 Ca injections, 11kg of Ca total, 6 Inoculation injections



# Protocol for biomineralization testing in the field



#### **Inoculation injection Components:**

| S. pasteurii   | 2 to 5 E+7 CFU/mI |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Urea           | 795 gr            |
| NH4CI          | 331 gr            |
| Nutrient Broth | 99 gr             |

#### **Calcium Injection Components:**

| CaCl2          | 1285 gr |
|----------------|---------|
| Urea           | 795 gr  |
| NH4CI          | 331 gr  |
| Nutrient Broth | 99 gr   |

Bailer capacity Dilution Volume 3 to 3.75 gallons 5 to 10 gallons

Injection of brine through 2.75 inch tubing – Injection of inoculum and calcium/urea fluids using a dump bailer